

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,164	WU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Srirama Channavajjala.

(3) _____.

 (2) Aslam A. Jaffery, Regd.No. 51,841.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 March 2007
Time: 12.30 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-8,14-16,20-26

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent no. 6,324,631

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made on 05 March 2007 to applicant's representative, Aslam A. Jaffery, Regd.No. 51,841 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,3,6,14-15,20,22,25, cancelling claims: 2,4-5,7-8,16,21,23-24,26, amendment to the specification at page 8, paragraph: [0021], in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.